IOPscience

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

First-principles calculations of $BaZrO_3(001)$ and (011) surfaces

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 356004 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/19/35/356004)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 04:32

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 356004 (12pp)

First-principles calculations of BaZrO₃(001) and (011) surfaces

R I Eglitis

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Sung Kyun Kwan University, 440-746 Suwon, Korea and

Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, Riga LV1063, Latvia

Received 26 April 2007, in final form 19 June 2007 Published 8 August 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/19/356004

Abstract

In an extension of our previous studies of BaTiO₃, SrTiO₃, and PbTiO₃(001), as well as BaTiO₃ and SrTiO₃(011) surfaces [1-6], I present and discuss the results of calculations of BaZrO₃(001) surface relaxation and rumpling with two different terminations (BaO and ZrO₂), and BaZrO₃(011) polar surface relaxation with three terminations (Ba-, ZrO- and O-terminated, Atype). These are based on hybrid Hartree-Fock and density-functional theory exchange functionals, using Becke's three-parameter method, combined with the nonlocal correlation functionals by Perdew and Wang [7]. According to the results of my calculations, all upper layer atoms for ZrO₂- and BaOterminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces relax inwards. The surface rumpling for the BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface is much larger than for the ZrO_2 terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface. Both BaO-terminated (1.30 eV) and ZrO₂terminated (1.31 eV) surfaces are stable and energetically equally favourable. Unlike the BaZrO₃(001) surface, different terminations of the (011) surface lead to great differences in the surface energies. The A-type O-terminated surface has the lowest energy (2.32 eV). The Ba-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface has a much higher surface energy of 2.90 eV, while the BaZrO₃ ZrO-terminated (011) surface has the highest energy (3.09 eV). I predict a considerable increase of the Zr–O chemical bond covalency near the (011) surface, as compared to both the bulk and the (001) surface.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

The ABO₃ perovskite surfaces have numerous technological applications, including highcapacity memory cells, catalysis, optical wave guides, integrated optics applications, and as substrates for high- T_c cuprate superconductor growth, [8–10], for which the surface structure and quality are of primary importance. Considering the high technological importance of ABO₃ perovskites, it is not surprising that, during recent years, their (001) surfaces have been the subject of many theoretical studies by means of *ab initio* and classical shell model (SM) methods [11–20]. The SrTiO₃(001) surface relaxation has been experimentally studied by means of low-energy electron diffraction (LEED), reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), medium-energy ion scattering (MEIS), and surface x-ray diffraction (SXRD) measurements [21–25].

In general, the results of the LEED experiments [21] agree quite well with the results of *ab initio* and shell model calculations. Note, however, that the LEED [21] and RHEED [22] experiments contradict each other in the sign (reduction or expansion) of the interplane distances between the top metal and the second crystal layers (Δd_{12}) for the SrO-terminated SrTiO₃(001) surface. Another problem is that LEED, RHEED, and MEIS experiments argue that the topmost O atoms always move outwards from the surface whereas all calculations predict for the TiO₂-terminated SrTiO₃(001) surface that the O atoms go *inwards*. The reason for this is not clear and is discussed in [11, 25]. Even more important is a clear contradiction between the three above-mentioned experiments and a recent SXRD [25] experiment in which oxygen atoms are predicted to move *inwards* for both TiO₂-terminated SrTiO₃(001) surfaces, reaching 12.5% of the lattice constant for the TiO₂-terminated surface.

The $SrTiO_3(011)$ polar perovskite surface was studied experimentally using several different techniques. Low-energy electron diffraction shows a number of surface reconstructions at high temperatures, and atomic force microscopy also supports surface modification due to applied extensive thermal treatment [26–28]. However, there are no experimental estimates of the surface relaxation of the $SrTiO_3$ or $BaZrO_3(011)$ surfaces at low temperatures, with which I could compare my calculations.

It is surprising that, despite the high technological potential, there are no experimental and theoretical studies reported dealing with BaZrO₃(011) surfaces. In order to fill this gap, and taking into account that the predictive power of first-principles quantum electronic structure calculations due to increased speed of computers and recent developments of new and powerful computational methods allows for the rational design on paper of new materials and their properties for technology applications, I performed *ab initio* calculations for BaZrO₃ surfaces. It is the aim of the present paper to provide an application of state-of-the-art first-principles methods to the (011) polar termination of BaZrO₃, which is the first such report to the best of my knowledge.

2. Computational method

To perform the first-principles DFT-B3PW calculations, I used the CRYSTAL computer code [7]. This code employs the Gaussian-type functions (GTFs) localized at atoms as the basis for an expansion of the crystalline orbitals. The features of the CRYSTAL code which are most important for this study are its ability to calculate the electronic structure of materials within both Hartree–Fock (HF) and Kohn–Sham (KS) Hamiltonians. My calculations were performed using the hybrid exchange–correlation B3PW functional involving a hybrid of non-local Fock exact exchange, local density approximation (LDA) exchange and Becke's gradient-corrected exchange functional [29], combined with the nonlocal gradient-corrected correlation potential by Perdew and Wang [30–32]. The Hay–Wadt small-core effective core pseudopotentials (ECPs) were adopted for the Ba atom [33]. The 'small-core' ECPs replace only inner core orbitals, but orbitals for sub-valence electrons as well as for valence electrons are calculated self-consistently. Light oxygen atoms were treated with the all-electron basis set.

The reciprocal space integration was performed by sampling the Brillouin zone of the unit cell with an $8 \times 8 \times 1$ Pack–Monkhorst net [34], which provides a balanced summation in



Figure 1. Side view of a ZrO_2 -terminated Ba $ZrO_3(001)$ surface with the definitions of the surface rumpling *s* and the near-surface interplane distances d_{12} and d_{23} , respectively.

direct and reciprocal spaces [35]. To achieve high accuracy, large enough tolerances of 7, 8, 7, 7, 14, were chosen for the Coulomb overlap, Coulomb penetration, exchange overlap, the first exchange pseudo-overlap, and the second exchange pseudo-overlap, respectively [7]. The BaZrO₃(001) surfaces were modelled with two-dimensional (2D) slabs, consisting of several planes perpendicular to the [001] crystal direction. The CRYSTAL code allowed me to avoid artificial periodicity along the O_z direction and to perform simulations for stand-alone 2D slabs. To simulate BaZrO₃(001) surfaces, I used symmetrical (with respect to the mirror plane) slabs consisting of seven alternating ZrO_2 and BaO layers. One of these slabs was terminated by BaO planes and consisted of a supercell containing 17 atoms. The second slab was terminated by ZrO₂ planes and consisted of a supercell containing 18 atoms. These slabs are non-stoichiometric, with unit cell formulae $Ba_4Zr_3O_{10}$ and $Ba_3Zr_4O_{11}$, respectively. These two (BaO and ZrO_2) terminations are the only two possible flat and dense (001) surfaces in BaZrO3 perovskite lattice structure. The sequence of layers with [001] orientation in BaZrO₃ is illustrated in figure 1. An alternative asymmetrical 8layer BaZrO₃(001) slab is BaO- and ZrO₂-terminated (from each side, respectively) and stoichiometric with unit cell formula Ba₄Zr₄O₁₂. According to our previous study dealing with SrTiO₃, BaTiO₃ and PbTiO₃(001) surfaces [2, 6], the atomic displacements obtained for asymmetrically terminated (stoichiometric) slabs containing 8 layers and 20 atoms and symmetrically terminated (non-stoichiometric) slabs containing 7 layers and 17 or 18 atoms, respectively, are practically the same. This means that both symmetrical (non-stoichiometric) and asymmetrical (stoichiometric) slabs are reliable for the calculations of the $BaZrO_3(001)$ neutral surface. Therefore I chose for my current calculations either BaO- or ZrO2-terminated symmetrical (non-stoichiometric) BaZrO₃(001) slabs containing 7 layers and 17 or 18 atoms, respectively.

Unlike the BaZrO₃(001) neutral surface, the problem in modelling the BaZrO₃(011) polar surface is that it consists of charged planes, O–O or BaZrO. If one assumes fixed ionic charges O^{2-} , Zr^{4+} , and Ba^{2+} , then modelling of the BaZrO₃(011) surface exactly as would be obtained from a perfect crystal cleavage leads either to an infinite macroscopic dipole moment perpendicular to the surface, when the slab is terminated by planes of different kinds (O₂ and



Figure 2. ZrO-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface.



Figure 3. Ba-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface.

BaZrO) (stoichiometric slab), or to infinite charge, when it is terminated by the same type of crystalline planes (O_2-O_2 or BaZrO–BaZrO) (non-stoichiometric slab). It is known that such crystal terminations make the surface unstable [36, 37]. In real quantum-chemical calculations for a finite-thickness slab terminated by the different kind of planes (stoichiometric slab) the charge redistribution near the surface arising during the self-consistent field (SCF) procedure could, in principle, compensate the macroscopic dipole moment. On the other hand, in the calculations of non-stoichiometric slabs terminated by similar planes the charge neutrality could be retained by setting in the computer inputs an appropriate number of electrons or just zero net charge of the unit cell. Nevertheless, careful studies for another ABO₃ perovskite, SrTiO₃ [36, 38, 39], demonstrate that these two options for SrTiO₃ surfaces are energetically expensive with respect to the dipole moment elimination via the introduction of vacancies.

This was the reason why in my BaZrO₃(011) surface calculations, in order to get the neutral slab, I removed the O atom from the upper and lower layers of the 7-layer symmetric O–O-terminated non-stoichiometric slab, and Ba or both Zr and O atoms from the upper and lower layers of the BaZrO-terminated non-stoichiometric slab. Thereby, in my calculations, the ZrO-terminated symmetric 7-layer non-stoichiometric slab consisted of a supercell containing 16 atoms (see figure 2), and finally, the Ba- and O-terminated symmetric non-stoichiometric 7-layer slabs consisted of supercells containing 14 and 15 atoms, respectively (see figure 3 and figure 4). Stoichiometric and non-stoichiometric (011) surface terminations, and the number of bonds cleaved, are discussed very comprehensively for the related ABO₃ perovskite SrTiO₃ in [39].



Figure 4. Side view of O-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface, configuration A.

Table 1. Effective charges and bond populations of atoms in BaZrO₃ bulk.

lon	Property	B3PW calculation
Ba ²⁺	Charge Q (in e)	+1.815
	Bond populations P (in me)	-12
O^{2-}	Charge Q (in e)	-1.316
	Bond populations P (in me)	108
Zr^{4+}	Charge Q (in e)	+2.134

Table 2. Atomic relaxation of the uppermost three layers (in per cent of lattice constant) for the ZrO_2 -terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface calculated by the hybrid B3PW method. Positive (negative) values refer to displacements in the direction outwards from (inwards to) the surface.

Ν	Ion	B3PW ($\Delta z \%$ of a_o)
1	Zr^{4+}	-1.79
	O^{2-}	-1.70
2	Ba ²⁺	+1.94
	O^{2-}	+0.85
3	Zr^{4+}	-0.03
	O^{2-}	0.00

3. Calculated results for BaZrO₃ bulk and (001) surface atomic and electronic structure

As a starting point for my calculations, I calculated the BaZrO₃ lattice constant (4.234 Å). To characterize the chemical bonding and covalency effects, I used a standard Mulliken population analysis for the effective atomic charges Q and other local properties of electronic structure (bond orders, atomic covalencies and full valencies) as described, for example, in [40, 41]. My calculated effective charges are (+1.815*e*) for the Ba atom, (+2.134*e*) for the Zr atom, and (-1.316*e*) for the O atom. The bond population of the chemical bonding between Zr and O atoms is (+108 m*e*), but bond populations between O and O atoms (-8 m*e*), and between Ba and O atoms (-12 m*e*) are negative, which indicates repulsion between O–O atoms (see table 1). The negative bond populations between Ba and O atoms is within the error bars of the CRYSTAL code. Similar negative bond populations between Sr and O atoms were also obtained for SrTiO₃ [3–5].

The atomic displacements obtained by me using the B3PW method for ZrO_2 - and BaOterminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces are shown in tables 2 and 3. According to the results of

R I Eglitis

Table 3. Atomic relaxation of the uppermost three layers (in per cent of lattice constant) for the BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface calculated by the hybrid B3PW method. Positive (negative) values refer to displacements in the direction outwards from (inwards to) the surface.

Ν	Ion	B3PW ($\Delta z\%$ of a_o)
1	Ba ²⁺	-4.30
	O^{2-}	-1.23
2	Zr^{4+}	+0.47
	O^{2-}	+0.18
3	Ba ²⁺	-0.01
	O^{2-}	-0.14

Table 4. The calculated surface rumpling *s* and relative displacements (Δd_{ij}) for the three near-surface planes of BaO- and ZrO₂-terminated (001) surfaces (in per cent of bulk lattice constant).

	BaO-terminated			ZrO ₂ -terminated		
Method	S	Δd_{12}	Δd_{23}	S	Δd_{12}	Δd_{23}
B3PW	3.07	-4.77	+0.48	0.09	-3.73	+1.97

my calculations, atoms of the first surface layer relax inwards, i.e. towards the bulk, for both ZrO_2 - and BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces. The outward relaxation of all atoms in the second layer is found for both terminations of the BaZrO₃(001) surface. Displacements of the third-layer atoms were found to be negligibly small in my calculations.

In order to compare the calculated surface structures with experimental results, the surface rumpling *s* (the relative displacement of oxygen with respect to the metal atom in the surface layer) (see figure 1) and the changes in interlayer distances Δd_{12} and Δd_{23} (1, 2, and 3 are the numbers of near-surface layers) are presented in table 4. My calculations of the interlayer distances are based on the positions of relaxed metal ions (figure 1), which are known to be much stronger electron scatterers than oxygen ions [21]. From table 4 one can see that both BaZrO₃(001) surfaces show the reduction of interlayer distance Δd_{12} and expansion of Δd_{23} . The relaxation of the upper layer surface metal atoms is much larger than that of oxygen ions for the BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface, which leads to a considerable *rumpling* of the outermost plane (see table 4). The amplitude of surface rumpling of the BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface is predicted to be considerably larger than that for the ZrO₂-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface.

Atomic displacements, the effective static charges, and bond populations between nearest metal and oxygen atoms are given in table 5. The major effect observed here is strengthening of the Zr–O chemical bond near the surface. Note that the Zr and O effective charges in the BaZrO₃ bulk ($\pm 2.134e$ and $\pm 1.316e$, respectively) are much smaller than those expected in an ionic model; furthermore the Zr–O bond is considerably populated (108 me, m = milli). The Zr–O bond population for the ZrO₂-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface is 132 me (see table 5), which is considerably larger than the relevant values in the bulk. In contrast, the Ba–O bond populations are very small and even negative, which indicates repulsion. This effect is also well seen from the Ba effective charges, which is close to the formal ionic charge of $\pm 2e$.

In order to calculate the BaZrO₃(001) surface energy, I start with the cleavage energy for unrelaxed BaO- and ZrO₂-terminated surfaces. In my calculations the two 7-layer BaO- and ZrO₂-terminated slabs, containing 17 and 18 atoms, respectively, represent together 7 bulk unit cells containing 5 atoms. Surfaces with both terminations arise simultaneously under cleavage

Table 5. Calculated absolute magnitudes of atomic displacements D (in Å), the effective atomic charges Q (in e) and bond populations P between nearest Me–O atoms (in me) for the ZrO₂- and BaO-terminated ZrO₂ (001) surfaces.

2	ZrO ₂ -terminated BaZrO ₃ surface			BaO-terminated BaZrO ₃ surface			
Layer no	Ion	Property	B3PW	Layer no	Ion	Property	B3PW
1	Zr^{4+}	D	-0.0757886	1	Ba ²⁺	D	-0.182062
		Q	+2.173			Q	+1.779
		P	132			Р	-8
	O^{2-}	D	-0.0719780		O^{2-}	D	-0.052078
		Q	-1.239			Q	-1.491
		Р	-18			Р	44
2	Ba ²⁺	D	+0.0821396	2	Zr^{4+}	D	+0.0198 998
		Q	+1.797			Q	+2.189
		Р	-10			Р	90
	O^{2-}	D	+0.035989		O^{2-}	D	+0.0076212
		Q	-1.273			Q	-1.356
		P	106			Р	-12
3	Zr^{4+}	D	-0.001 2702	3	Ba ²⁺	D	-0.0004234
		Q	+2.133			Q	+1.811
		Р	116			Р	-12
	O^{2-}	D	0		O^{2-}	D	-0.0059276
		Q	-1.30			Q	-1.328
		Р	-12			Р	104
Bulk	Zr^{4+}	Q	+2.134	Bulk	Zr^{4+}	Q	+2.134
		Р	108			Р	108
	O^{2-}	Q	-1.316		O^{2-}	Q	-1.316
		P	-12			P	-12
	Ba ²⁺	Q	+1.815		Ba ²⁺	Q	+1.815

of the crystal and the relevant cleavage energy is distributed equally between created surfaces. Therefore, I assume that the cleavage energy is the same for both terminations:

$$E_{\rm s}^{\rm (unrel)} = \frac{1}{4} [E_{\rm slab}^{\rm (unrel)}({\rm BaO}) + E_{\rm slab}^{\rm (unrel)}({\rm ZrO}_2) - 7E_{\rm bulk}],\tag{1}$$

where $E_{\text{slab}}^{(\text{unrel})}$ (BaO) and $E_{\text{slab}}^{(\text{unrel})}$ (ZrO₂) are unrelaxed BaO- and ZrO₂-terminated slab energies, E_{bulk} is energy per bulk unit cell, and the factor of 4 comes from the fact that I create four surfaces upon the cleavage procedure. According to the results of my calculations, the total energy for the unrelaxed BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) slab is equal to $-27\,057.055\,779$ eV, the total energy for the unrelaxed ZrO₂-terminated BaZrO₃(001) slab is equal to $-29\,685.733\,014$ eV, and finally, the total energy for the BaZrO₃ bulk unit cell containing 5 atoms is equal to $-8106.947\,442$ eV. Next, I can calculate the relaxation energies for each of BaO and ZrO₂ terminations, when both sides of the slabs relax:

$$E_{\rm rel}(A) = \frac{1}{2} [E_{\rm slab}(A) - E_{\rm slab}^{(\rm unrel)}(A)]; \tag{2}$$

 $E_{\text{slab}}(A)$ is the slab energy after relaxation, and A = BaO or ZrO_2 . According to the results of my calculations the total energy of the BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) slab after the relaxation of atoms is equal to -27057.387826 eV, and the total energy for the ZrO_2 -terminated BaZrO₃(001) slab after the relaxation of atoms is equal to -29686.028657 eV. Lastly, the surface energy sought for is just a sum of the cleavage and relaxation energies:

$$E_{\rm s}(A) = E_{\rm s}^{\rm (unrel)} + E_{\rm rel}(A). \tag{3}$$

Table 6. Calculated surface energies (in eV per surface cell) for BaO- and ZrO_2 -terminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces.

Termination	Surface energy
BaO	1.30
ZrO ₂	1.31

In order to calculate the $BaZrO_3(011)$ surface energy for the ZrO- and Ba-terminated surfaces, containing 16 and 14 atoms, respectively, I start with the cleavage energy for unrelaxed surfaces. In my calculations for $BaZrO_3(011)$ surfaces the two 7-plane Ba- and ZrOterminated slabs represent together six bulk unit cells. The surfaces with both terminations arise simultaneously under cleavage of the crystal, and the relevant cleavage energy is divided equally between these two surfaces. Therefore, I assume that the cleavage energy is the same for both terminations:

$$E_{\rm s}^{\rm (unrel)} = \frac{1}{4} [E_{\rm slab}^{\rm (unrel)}(\rm Ba) + E_{\rm slab}^{\rm (unrel)}(\rm ZrO) - 6E_{\rm bulk}], \tag{4}$$

where $E_{\text{slab}}^{(\text{unrel})}$ (Ba) and $E_{\text{slab}}^{(\text{unrel})}$ (ZrO) are energies of the unrelaxed slabs, E_{bulk} is the energy per bulk unit cell, and $\frac{1}{4}$ means that in total four surfaces were created upon the crystal cleavage. My calculated total energies for unrelaxed Ba- and ZrO-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surfaces are equal to -21 681.880 527 eV and -26 941.831 973 eV, respectively. Next I calculate the relaxation energies $E_{\text{rel}}(A)$ using equation (2) for each of the Ba- and ZrO-terminated surfaces, when both sides of slabs are allowed to relax. In the case for the BaZrO₃(011) surface A = Ba or ZrO. According to the results of my calculations, after the relaxation of atoms for Ba- and ZrOterminated BaZrO₃(011) surfaces the total energy of system is equal to -21 685.066 116 eV and -26 944.644 617 eV, respectively. Finally, the surface energy $E_s(A)$ sought for is just a sum of the cleavage and relaxation energies (3). When I cleave the BaZrO₃ crystal in another way, I obtain two identical O-terminated surfaces, containing 15 atoms. This allows me to simplify the calculations. Note that the unit cell of the 7-plane O-terminated slab contains three bulk unit cells. Therefore, the relevant surface energy is

$$E_{\rm s}({\rm O},{\rm A}) = \frac{1}{2} [E_{\rm slab}({\rm O},{\rm A}) - 3E_{\rm bulk}],\tag{5}$$

where $E_s(O, A)$ and $E_{slab}(O, A)$ are the surface energy and the slab total energy for the O-terminated surface in the asymmetric configuration A. According to the results of my calculations, the total energy of the O-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface in the asymmetric configuration after the relaxation of atoms is equal to -24316.206750 eV. Additional details dealing with the related ABO₃ perovskite SrTiO₃ surface energy calculations are given in [39].

The results of calculations for the surface energy of relaxed BaO- and ZrO_2 -terminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces are presented in table 6. According to my calculations the E_s value for the BaO termination (1.30 eV/cell) is slightly smaller than for the ZrO_2 termination (1.31 eV/cell). However, the surface energy difference is small, and both surfaces are stable and energetically equally favourable.

4. Calculated results for BaZrO₃(011) surface atomic and electronic structures

Table 7 gives the surface energies, calculated using the hybrid B3PW method. Unlike the $BaZrO_3(001)$ surface, I see that different terminations of the (011) surface lead to great differences in the surface energies. Here the lowest energy is that of the A-type O-terminated surface (2.32 eV/cell). My calculated surface energy for the ZrO-terminated

Table 7. Surface energies (in electron volts per unit cell area) for the three different $BaZrO_3(011)$ terminations calculated using the hybrid B3PW method. In both cases three near-surface planes were relaxed.

Termination	Surface energy		
Ba	2.90		
ZrO	3.09		
O-terminated, A-type	2.32		

Table 8. Atomic relaxation of the $BaZrO_3(011)$ surface (in per cent of the lattice constant) for the three terminations, calculated by means of the *ab initio* B3PW method. A positive sign corresponds to outward atomic displacements (towards the vacuum).

Layer number	Ion	B3PW, Δz	B3PW, Δy			
Zr–O-terminated						
1	Zr^{4+} -6.61					
1	O^{2-}	+3.35				
2	O^{2-}	-0.29				
3	Ba ²⁺	-1.51				
3	O^{2-}	-3.54				
3	Zr^{4+}	+0.90				
	Ba-	terminated				
1	Ba ²⁺	-11.81				
2	O^{2-}	+0.66				
3	Zr^{4+}	+0.09				
3	O^{2-}	-0.07				
3	Ba ²⁺	+0.71				
O-terminated, A-type						
1 O^{2-} -7.32 -1.54						
2	Zr^{4+}	+0.12	-2.36			
2	Ba^{2+}	+0.21	+2.43			
2	O^{2-}	-0.78	+8.27			
3	O^{2-}	-0.07	+0.43			

 $BaZrO_3(011)$ surface (3.09 eV/cell) is larger than that for the Ba-terminated $BaZrO_3(011)$ surface (2.90 eV/cell).

The hybrid B3PW calculated atomic relaxations for BaZrO₃(011) surfaces are shown in table 8. An idea of the nature of the relaxed (011) surfaces can be obtained from figures 2–4 (see front views). The first layer metal atoms for ZrO- and Ba-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surfaces relax strongly inwards, by $0.0661a_o$ for Zr and by $0.1181a_o$ for Ba, whereas the O atoms on the ZrO-terminated (011) surface relax outwards by $0.0335a_o$. The O atoms in the top layer of the A-type O-terminated surface also move inwards by $0.0732a_o$. The Zr atoms in the second layer of this surface move along the surface, by $0.0236a_o$, and also slightly outwards. The atomic displacements in the third plane from the surface for the three terminations of BaZrO₃(011) surfaces (see tables 2 and 3), where the atomic displacements converge very quickly and are already small in the third layer.

The interatomic bond populations for the three possible terminations are given in table 9. The major effect observed here is a strong increase of the Zr–O chemical bonding (152 me)

Table 9. The A–B bond populations *P* (in milli e = me) and the relevant interatomic distances *R* (in Å) for the three different (110) terminations in BaZrO₃. Symbols I–IV denote the number of each plane enumerated from the surface. The nearest-neighbour Zr–O distance in the unrelaxed

Atom A Atom B P R ZrO-terminated ZrO-terminated Zr(I) O(I) 152 2.159 O(II) Z52 1.937 O(II) Zr(II) 130 2.082 Ba(III) -4 3.020 O(II) Z 2.994 Zr(III) Ba(III) 0 3.668 O(III) 130 2.082 O(IV) 130 2.125 Ba(III) O(III) -12 2.995 O(IV) -14 2.962 O(III) O(IV) -24 2.922 Ba-terminated Ba 2.134 O(II) Ba(III) -14 2.993 Zr(III) Ba(III) -12 2.994 Ba(III) O(II) -2 3.667 O(IV) -10 3.009 2.120 Zr(III) O(IV) -130 2.120 O(III) O(IV) -8 2.992 O(II)	lattice is 2	2.117 Å.		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c }\hline \hline ZrO-terminated \\ \hline Zr(I) & O(I) & 152 & 2.159 \\ O(II) & 252 & 1.937 \\ O(II) & Zr(III) & 130 & 2.082 \\ Ba(III) & -4 & 3.020 \\ O(III) & 2 & 2.994 \\ \hline Zr(III) & Ba(III) & 0 & 3.668 \\ O(III) & 130 & 2.082 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.125 \\ \hline Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.995 \\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962 \\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962 \\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -24 & 2.922 \\ \hline \hline Ba-terminated \\ \hline Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768 \\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993 \\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134 \\ O(III) & -26 & 2.994 \\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & I17 & 19.82 \\ O(II) & I10 & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Atom A	Atom B	Р	R
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ZrO-termi	nated	
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} O(II) & 252 & 1.937\\ O(II) & Zr(III) & 130 & 2.082\\ Ba(III) & -4 & 3.020\\ O(III) & 2 & 2.994\\ Zr(III) & Ba(III) & 0 & 3.668\\ O(III) & 130 & 2.082\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.125\\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.995\\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -24 & 2.922\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Ba-terminated \\\hline \\ Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768\\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117\\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & I176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 102 & 2.165\\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$	Zr(I)	O(I)	152	2.159
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} {\rm O(II)} & {\rm Zr(III)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.082} \\ {\rm Ba(III)} & -4 & {\rm 3.020} \\ {\rm O(III)} & {\rm 2} & {\rm 2.994} \\ {\rm Zr(III)} & {\rm Ba(III)} & {\rm 0} & {\rm 3.668} \\ {\rm O(III)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.082} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.125} \\ {\rm Ba(III)} & {\rm O(II)} & -{\rm 12} & {\rm 2.995} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -14} & {\rm 2.962} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -14} & {\rm 2.962} \\ {\rm O(III)} & {\rm O(IV)} & -{\rm 24} & {\rm 2.922} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ {\rm Ba-terminated} \\ \hline \\ {\rm Ba(I)} & {\rm O(II)} & -{\rm 46} & {\rm 2.768} \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm Ba(III)} & -{\rm 14} & {\rm 2.993} \\ {\rm Zr(III)} & {\rm 82} & {\rm 2.134} \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm -26} & {\rm 2.994} \\ {\rm Ba(III)} & {\rm O(II)} & -{\rm 12} & {\rm 2.994} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -10} & {\rm 3.009} \\ {\rm Zr(III)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm 70} & {\rm 2.117} \\ {\rm Ba(III)} & {\rm -2} & {\rm 3.667} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.120} \\ \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.120} \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm Ba(II)} & {\rm -2} & {\rm 3.667} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.120} \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -8} & {\rm 2.992} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm Ba(II)} & {\rm -2} & {\rm 3.667} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.120} \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -8} & {\rm 2.992} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm Ba(II)} & {\rm -2} & {\rm 3.667} \\ {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm 130} & {\rm 2.120} \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -8} & {\rm 2.992} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm -2} & {\rm 3.503} \\ {\rm Zr(II)} & {\rm 154} & {\rm 1.880} \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm 20} & {\rm 3.102} \\ \\ {\rm Ba(II)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm 102} & {\rm 2.165} \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm 101} & {\rm 102} & {\rm 2.165} \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm 102} & {\rm 2.165} \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm 101} & {\rm 3.002} \\ \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm -10} & {\rm 3.002} \\ \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(II)} & {\rm -10} & {\rm 3.002} \\ \\ {\rm O(II)} & {\rm O(IV)} & {\rm -18} & {\rm 2.940} \\ \\ {\rm Zr(IV)} & {\rm 128} & {\rm 2.040} \\ \\ {\rm Ba(IV)} & {\rm -6} & {\rm 3.048} \\ \hline \end{array}$		O(II)	252	1.937
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(II)	Zr(III)	130	2.082
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(III) & 2 & 2.994 \\ Zr(III) & Ba(III) & 0 & 3.668 \\ O(III) & 130 & 2.082 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.125 \\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.995 \\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962 \\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962 \\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -24 & 2.922 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Ba-terminated \\ \hline \\ Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768 \\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993 \\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134 \\ O(III) & -14 & 2.993 \\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134 \\ O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & I176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{array}$		Ba(III)	-4	3.020
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		O(III)	2	2.994
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(III) & 130 & 2.082\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.125\\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.995\\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962\\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962\\ O(IV) & -24 & 2.922\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Ba-terminated \\\hline \\ Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768\\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117\\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & I176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 102 & 2.165\\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 103 & 0.02\\ O(III) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$	Zr(III)	Ba(III)	0	3.668
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} O(IV) & 130 & 2.125\\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.995\\ O(IV) & -14 & 2.962\\ O(IV) & -24 & 2.922\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Ba-terminated \\ \hline \\ Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768\\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117\\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ O(II) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165\\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108\\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$		O(III)	130	2.082
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		O(IV)	130	2.125
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} O(IV) & -14 & 2.962\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -24 & 2.922\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Ba-terminated \\ \hline \\ Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768\\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993\\ Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134\\ O(II) & -26 & 2.994\\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994\\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & -70 & 2.117\\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ \hline \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ O(II) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ \hline \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165\\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(II) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$	Ba(III)	O(III)	-12	2.995
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(III) & O(IV) & -24 & 2.922\\ \hline & \hline $		O(IV)	-14	2.962
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Ba-terminated \\ \hline Ba(I) & O(II) & -46 & 2.768 \\ O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993 \\ & Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134 \\ & O(III) & -26 & 2.994 \\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ & O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117 \\ & Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ & O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(II) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ & O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & I02 & 2.165 \\ & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	O(III)	O(IV)	-24	2.922
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ba-termin	nated	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} O(II) & Ba(III) & -14 & 2.993 \\ & Zr(III) & 82 & 2.134 \\ O(III) & -26 & 2.994 \\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117 \\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ \hline Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Ba(I)	O(II)	-46	2.768
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(II)	Ba(III)	-14	2.993
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(III) & -26 & 2.994 \\ Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117 \\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ \hline Sa(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ \hline O(II) & 0(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{array}$		Zr(III)	82	2.134
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Ba(III) & O(III) & -12 & 2.994 \\ O(IV) & -10 & 3.009 \\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117 \\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & 0(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{array}$		O(III)	-26	2.994
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(IV) & -10 & 3.009\\ Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117\\ Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ \hline O(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & 0(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165\\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(II) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(II) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(II) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$	Ba(III)	O(III)	-12	2.994
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Zr(III) & O(III) & 70 & 2.117 \\ & Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667 \\ & O(IV) & 130 & 2.120 \\ \hline O(IV) & 0(IV) & -8 & 2.992 \\ \hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ & O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ & Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & 0(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(II) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(II) & O(II) & -18 & 2.940 \\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{array}$		O(IV)	-10	3.009
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} & Ba(III) & -2 & 3.667\\ & O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ \hline O(IV) & 0(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \hline \\ \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ & O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ & Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165\\ & O(III) & 102 & 2.165\\ & O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(II) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(II) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108\\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$	Zr(III)	O(III)	70	2.117
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(IV) & 130 & 2.120\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -8 & 2.992\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763\\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880\\ & O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ & Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165\\ & O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108\\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \hline \end{array}$		Ba(III)	-2	3.667
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		O(IV)	130	2.120
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c }\hline \hline O-terminated, A-type \\ \hline O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ & O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ & Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(II) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	O(III)	O(IV)	-8	2.992
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} O(I) & Ba(II) & -36 & 2.763 \\ & Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ & Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \end{array}$	C)-terminated	l, A-type	
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Zr(II) & 154 & 1.880 \\ O(II) & 20 & 3.102 \\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \end{array}$	O(I)	Ba(II)	-36	2.763
$\begin{array}{ccccc} O(II) & 20 & 3.102\\ Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747\\ Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503\\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165\\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108\\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048\\ \end{array}$		Zr(II)	154	1.880
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Ba(II) & O(II) & -30 & 2.747 \\ & Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ & O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \end{array}$		O(II)	20	3.102
$\begin{array}{cccc} Zr(II) & -2 & 3.503 \\ Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \end{array}$	Ba(II)	O(II)	-30	2.747
$\begin{array}{cccc} Zr(II) & O(II) & 102 & 2.165 \\ O(III) & 176 & 1.982 \\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \\ \end{array}$		Zr(II)	-2	3.503
$\begin{array}{ccccc} O(III) & 176 & 1.982\\ O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108\\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002\\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940\\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040\\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \end{array}$	Zr(II)	O(II)	102	2.165
$\begin{array}{cccc} O(II) & O(III) & 8 & 3.108 \\ Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \end{array}$		O(III)	176	1.982
$\begin{array}{cccc} Ba(II) & O(III) & -10 & 3.002 \\ O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \end{array}$	O(II)	O(III)	8	3.108
$\begin{array}{cccc} O(III) & O(IV) & -18 & 2.940 \\ & Zr(IV) & 128 & 2.040 \\ & Ba(IV) & -6 & 3.048 \end{array}$	Ba(II)	O(III)	-10	3.002
Zr(IV) 128 2.040 Ba(IV) -6 3.048	O(III)	O(IV)	-18	2.940
Ba(IV) -6 3.048		Zr(IV)	128	2.040
		Ba(IV)	-6	3.048

near the ZrO-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface as compared to already large bonding in the bulk (108 me) and near the ZrO₂-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface (132 me). For the O-terminated A-type (011) surface the O(I)–Zr(II) bond population is as large as 154 me, i.e., by a factor of 1.5 larger than that in the bulk.

5. Conclusions

According to the results of my calculations, all upper layer atoms for ZrO_2 - and BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces relax inwards. In (001) surface, the displacement of Ba on the BaO-

terminated surface is larger than that of Zr atom on the ZrO_2 -terminated surface. The surface rumpling for BaO-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface is much larger than for the ZrO_2 -terminated BaZrO₃(001) surface. My calculations predict a compression of the distance between the first and second planes, and an expansion for the second and third planes.

I found that the relaxation magnitudes of the Ba- and ZrO-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface upper layer metal atoms are stronger than for the BaZrO₃(001) surface upper layer atoms. Whereas the metal atoms on the Ba- and ZrO-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surfaces relax strongly inwards, the oxygen atoms on the ZrO-terminated BaZrO₃(011) surface upper layer relax outwards by 3.35% of the lattice constant a_o .

Both BaO-terminated (1.30 eV) and ZrO_2 -terminated (1.31 eV) surfaces are stable and energetically equally favourable. Unlike the BaZrO₃(001) surface, I see that different terminations of the (011) surface lead to great differences in the surface energies. Here the lowest energy is that of the A-type O-terminated surface (2.32 eV). My calculated surface energies of Ba-terminated (2.90 eV) and ZrO-terminated (3.09 eV) BaZrO₃(011) surfaces is more than two times larger than those of BaO- and ZrO₂-terminated BaZrO₃(001) surfaces.

I found that relaxation of the $BaZrO_3(011)$ surfaces for all three terminations is considerably stronger than for (001) surfaces. The atomic displacements in the third plane from the surface for the three terminations of $BaZrO_3(011)$ surfaces are still large. This is in sharp contrast with my results for the neutral $BaZrO_3(001)$ surfaces, where the atomic displacements converge very quickly and are already small in the third layer. My *ab initio* calculations indicate a considerable increase of the Zr–O bond covalency (152 me) near the $BaZrO_3(011)$ surface relative to $BaZrO_3$ bulk (108 me), much larger than that for the (001) surface (132 me).

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges partial financial support by the Latvian Research Programmes No 05.1704 and Programme on Materials Science.

References

- [1] Eglitis R I, Borstel G, Heifets E, Piskunov S and Kotomin E 2006 J. Electroceram. 16 289
- [2] Eglitis R I, Piskunov S, Heifets E, Kotomin E A and Borstel G 2004 Ceram. Int. 30 1989
- [3] Heifets E, Eglitis R I, Kotomin E A, Maier J and Borstel G 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 235417
- [4] Heifets E, Goddard W A, Kotomin E A, Eglitis R I and Borstel G 2004 *Phys. Rev.* B 69 035408
- [5] Heifets E, Eglitis R I, Kotomin E A, Maier J and Borstel G 2002 Surf. Sci. 513 211
- [6] Piskunov S, Kotomin E A, Heifets E, Maier J, Eglitis R I and Borstel G 2005 Surf. Sci. 575 75
- [7] Saunders V R, Dovesi R, Roetti C, Causa M, Harisson N M, Orlando R and Zicovich-Wilson C M 2003 CRYSTAL-2003 User Manual (Italy: University of Torino)
- [8] Noguera C 1996 Physics and Chemistry at Oxide Surfaces (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [9] Liness M E and Glass A M 1977 Principles and Applications of Ferroelectrics and Related Materials (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [10] Auciello O, Scott J F and Ramesh R 1998 Phys. Today 22 (July)
- [11] Padilla J and Vanderbilt D 1998 Surf. Sci. 418 64
- [12] Padilla J and Vanderbilt D 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 1625
- [13] Meyer B, Padilla J and Vanderbilt D 1999 Faraday Discuss. 114 395
- [14] Cora F and Catlow C R A 1999 Faraday Discuss. 114 421
- [15] Cohen R E 1997 Ferroelectrics 194 323
- [16] Fu L, Yaschenko E, Resca L and Resta R 1999 Phys. Rev. B 60 2697
- [17] Cheng C, Kunc K and Lee M H 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 10409
- [18] Heifets E, Dorfman S, Fuks D and Kotomin E 1997 Thin Solid Films 296 76
- [19] Heifets E, Dorfman S, Fuks D, Kotomin E and Gordon A 1998 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10 L347
- [20] Erdman N, Poeppelmeler K, Asta M, Warschakov O and Ellis D E 2002 Nature 419 55

- [21] Bickel N, Schmidt G, Heinz K and Müller K 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 2009
- [22] Hikita T, Hanado T, Kudo M and Kawai M 1993 Surf. Sci. 287/288 377
- [23] Kudo M, Hikita T, Hanade T, Sekine R and Kawai M 1994 Surf. Interface Anal. 22 412
- [24] Kido Y, Nishimura T, Hoshido Y and Mamba H 2000 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. 161-163 371
- [25] Charlton G, Brennan S, Muryn C A, McGrath R, Norman D, Turner T S and Thorton G 2000 Surf. Sci. 457 L376
- [26] Szot K and Speier W 1999 Phys. Rev. B 60 5909
- [27] Bando H, Ochiai Y, Aiura Y, Haruyama Y, Yasue T and Nishihara Y 2001 J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 19 1938
- [28] Brunen J and Zegenhagen J 1997 Surf. Sci. 389 349
- [29] Becke A D 1993 J. Chem. Phys. 98 5648
- [30] Perdew J P and Wang Y 1986 Phys. Rev. B 33 8800
- [31] Perdew J P and Wang Y 1989 *Phys. Rev.* B **40** 3399
- [32] Perdew J P and Wang Y 1992 Phys. Rev. B 45 13244
- [33] Piskunov S, Heifets E, Eglitis R I and Borstel G 2004 Comput. Mater. Sci. 29 165
- [34] Monkhorst H J and Pack J D 1976 Phys. Rev. B 13 5188
- [35] Bredow T, Evarestov R A and Jug K 2000 Phys. Status Solidi b 222 495
- [36] Noguera C 2000 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 R367
- [37] Tasker P W 1979 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 12 4977
- [38] Pojani A, Finocchi F and Noguera C 1999 Surf. Sci. 442 179
- [39] Bottin F, Finocchi F and Noguera C 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 035418
- [40] Catlow C R A and Stoneham A M 1983 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 16 4321
- [41] Bochiccio R C and Reale H F 1993 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 26 4871